

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,979	05/16/2005	Takamasa Kato	H6808.0082/P082	1868
24998 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 02/28/200 HAPIRO LLP	7	EXAMINER	
1825 EYE STREET NW Washington, DC 20006-5403			ZEMAN, MARY K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		l A P . At N	A N			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/534,979	KATO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mary K. Zeman	1631			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2006. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)☐ 6)☒ 7)☐ 8)☐ Applicati 9)☐ 10)☐	Claim(s) 1,3 and 9-11 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3 and 9-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correction of the oath of the oath or declaration of the oath oath of the oath o	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 10/12/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Rejections not repeated below have been withdrawn. The amendments to the claims have obviated the rejections made under 35 USC 102/103. The multi-processor transmission is not taught by the cited art.

Claims 1, 3, and 9-11 are pending in this application. All other claims have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 3 remain rejected and new claims 9-11 are newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. To the extent this rejection is newly applied it was necessitated by Applicant's amendments.

Applicant has significantly amended the claims to add various processors and data transfer steps. However, these amendments do not render the claims statutory under 35 USC 101. The methods of the claims do not transform a physical article, nor do the produce a concrete, tangible and useful result. There is no clear data output step. There is no specific, concrete and useful result produced. Transmission of data between processors does not meet the output step requirement. Claim 3 does not produce any result, as the processing is canceled.

To meet section 101 requirements, the claims must be for a practical application of the abstract idea, law of nature, or natural phenomenon. Diehr, 450 U.S. at 187, 209 USPQ at 8 ("application of a law of nature or mathematical formula to a known structure or process may well be deserving of patent protection."); Benson, 409 U.S. at 71, 175 USPQ at 676 (rejecting formula claim because it "has no substantial practical application").

To satisfy section 101 requirements, the claim must be for a practical application of the § 101 judicial exception, which can be identified in various ways:

Application/Control Number: 10/534,979 Page 3

Art Unit: 1631

1) The claimed invention "transforms" an article or physical object to a different state or thing.

2) The claimed invention otherwise produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, based on the factors discussed below.

Practical Application That Produces a Useful, Concrete, and Tangible Result

For eligibility analysis, physical transformation "is not an invariable requirement, but merely one example of how a mathematical algorithm [or law of nature] may bring about a useful application." AT&T, 172 F.3d at 1358-59, 50 USPQ2d at 1452... In determining whether the claim is for a "practical application," the focus is not on whether the steps taken to achieve a particular result are useful, tangible and concrete, but rather that the final result achieved by the claimed invention is "useful, tangible and concrete." (1) "USEFUL RESULT" For an invention to be "useful" it must satisfy the utility requirement of section 101. The USPTO's official interpretation of the utility requirement provides that the utility of an invention has to be (i) specific, (ii) substantial and (iii) credible. MPEP § 2107 and Fisher, 421 F.3d at ____, 76 USPQ2d at 1230 (citing the Utility Guidelines with approval for interpretation of "specific" and "substantial"). (2) "TANGIBLE RESULT" The tangible requirement does not necessarily mean that a claim must either be tied to a particular machine or apparatus or must operate to change articles or materials to a different state or thing. However, the tangible requirement does require that the claim must recite more than a § 101 judicial exception, in that the process claim must set forth a practical application of that § 101 judicial exception to produce a real-world result. Benson, 409 U.S. at 71-72, 175 USPQ at 676-77 (invention ineligible because had "no substantial practical application."). "[A]n application of a law of nature or mathematical formula to a ... process may well be deserving of patent protection." Diehr, 450 U.S. at 187, 209 USPQ at 8 (emphasis added); see also Corning, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 268, 14 L.Ed. 683 ("It is for the discovery or invention of some practical method or means of producing a beneficial result or effect, that a patent is granted . . . "). In other words, the opposite meaning of "tangible" is "abstract." (3) "CONCRETE RESULT" Another consideration is whether the invention produces a "concrete" result. Usually, this question arises when a result cannot be assured. In other words, the process must have a result that can be substantially repeatable or the process must substantially produce the same result again. In re Swartz, 232 F.3d 862, 864, 56 USPQ2d 1703, 1704 (Fed. Cir. 2000) (where asserted result produced by the claimed invention is "irreproducible" claim should be rejected under section 101). The opposite of "concrete" is unrepeatable or unpredictable.

See also:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/guidelines101 20051026.pdf

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/534,979

Art Unit: 1631

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 3 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant has significantly amended the claims. To the extent this rejection is newly applied it was necessitated by Applicant's amendments.

In claim 1, the term a "provision of an object or service being suitable for an individual" is a relative term. It is unclear how to determine what is suitable and what is not. The specification does not clearly identify what makes an object or service suitable for differing individuals. Applicant does not point out basis for this new limitation in the specification. "adequacy" is similarly a relative term not clearly described in claim 1. "adequacy of transmission" is no clearly defined in the claim or specification as filed. This limitation is critical as the "retrieving step" requires "adequate" information.

Claims 3 and 9-11 depend from claim 1 and do not remedy the above issues.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/534,979

Art Unit: 1631

Page 5

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary K Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272 0723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel can be reached on (571) 272 0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

MAHY K. ZEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER